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Motivation and Goals

What are software development practices in High Performance Computing (HPC)?

Objective

Measure and compare HPC and non-HPC practices

Method

- Create code commit quality measures.
- Derive from the version control systems (VCS)
- Conduct a case study
  - Five key HPC infrastructure frameworks
  - Three highly diverse non-HPC open source projects
Context - Why/Who/What Changed

VCS tracks code (why/who/what changed), allows shared development, and supports complex workflows.
HPC middleware from ICL at UTK
Diverse non-HPC projects hosted on BitBucket

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPC</th>
<th>Non-HPC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. OpenMPI</td>
<td>1. Bitbucket Tutorial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. OpenSHMEM</td>
<td>2. Django-piston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PaRSEC</td>
<td>3. Linux kernel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. PLASMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. MAGMA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approach - Multiple Exploratory Case Study

Literal Replication
Literal replication: five similar widely used parallel computing frameworks

Theoretical Replication
Theoretical replication: three extreme non-HPC projects from Bitbucket

Projects
1. Bitbucket Tutorial
2. Django-piston
3. Linux kernel

1. Most forked
2. Most watched
3. Most commits
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Project Summaries: HPC

Different VCS systems:
Git, Mercurial (hg), and SVN for HPC
Git and Mercurial for non-HPC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repos</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cmts/UCmts</th>
<th>VCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OpenMPI</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>2003-</td>
<td>20K / 20K</td>
<td>GH-hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OpenSHMEM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2010-</td>
<td>1K / 1K</td>
<td>GH-hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaRSEC</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2009-</td>
<td>8K / 7K</td>
<td>BB-hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLASMA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2008-</td>
<td>4K / 4K</td>
<td>SVN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGMA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2013-</td>
<td>4+K / 4-K</td>
<td>SVN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Overview of HPC projects
## Project Summaries: non-HPC

### Selection criteria:
1. The most unique commit comments
2. The most forked
3. The most watched

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repos</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cmts/UCmts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eniliolopez/linux</td>
<td>15k</td>
<td>2005-</td>
<td>446K / 442K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tutorials.bitbucket</td>
<td>2.6k</td>
<td>2012-</td>
<td>6K / 5.5K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>django-piston</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2009-2012</td>
<td>254 / 252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Overview of Non-HPC projects
Measures: Basic

Total Number of Commits
- Effort that went into creating and maintaining the project
- A normalizing factor in commit quality measures

Number of Authors in a project
- A social characteristic of a project
- E.g., commercial projects → fewer more equal contributors
Measures: Commit Quality

Number of Unique Commit Messages
- Each commit message should be specific
  - No generic commit messages: "fix," "fixed bug," or "initial commit"
- Mature → each commit messages is unique

The size of Commit Comments
- A specific format and detail
- Very small commit messages may indicate immaturity
- Mature → larger commit messages
Measures: Commit Quality 2

Number of delta
- The number of files modified or added in a commit
- Although convenient, several tasks in a single commit is bad practice
- Commits with more delta $\rightarrow$ less mature projects

Fraction of Unique Commit Comments
- A high ratio $\rightarrow$ commit comments are tailored to each commit.
- A lower ratio indicates that same comments were reused for new commits or were generic.
Results

Fraction of Unique Commit Comments

Figure: Trend in commit quality of HPC projects
Fraction of Unique Commit Comments

**Figure:** Trend in commit quality of HPC projects

- **nUC**: Number of Unique Commit Comments
- **nTC**: Total number of Commit Comments
- \( \frac{nUC}{nTC} \): Comment Quality
Implications: Frctn of Unique Cmt Cmts

Number of Commits - HPC vs Non-HPC
- Initial spike in number of commits (HPC) → the starting activities of the project
- Linux kernel (non-HPC) shows very steady development (no sharp peaks)

Commit Quality - HPC vs Non-HPC
- $\frac{n_{UC}}{n_{TC}} \in [0.9, 1.0]$ → effort to document the changes
- $\frac{n_{UC}}{n_{TC}}$ ratio for non-HPC projects less consistent than for HPC
- Average life of five years, Average $\frac{n_{UC}}{n_{TC}} \geq 0.9$
Results Contd.

Number of Delta and Comment Length

Figure: Size of Commits for HPC projects
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Number of Delta and Comment Length

![Graphs showing Delta and Commit Size per Commit for Linux, Django, and Tutorial Bitbucket projects.](image)

**Figure**: Size of Commits for Non-HPC projects

**Delta** - Total Number of files modified or added in a single commit.

**Comment Size** - Number of character in each commit
## Discussion

### Commit Comment Size - HPC vs Non-HPC

- **HPC projects**: 200-1300 characters
- **non-HPC projects**: 50-150 characters
- More effort in HPC community.

### Delta per commit - HPC vs Non-HPC

- **HPC**: 5-6, up to 9 in PLASMA
- **non-HPC**: approximately 2.
- More delta per commit:
  - Tangled changes?
  - More complex tasks?
Observation 1
HPC middleware projects have higher commit quality:
- Fraction of unique commit messages
- Message size

Observation 2
HPC middleware projects have more complex commits:
- The number of files modified in a commit
Despite the HPC community being early in embracing code sharing, it has lagged in efficiently using the tools that define open source development.

The results of our investigation on HPC and other projects suggest the specific hypotheses that we plan to investigate on a more comprehensive set of projects.
Future Work

Are the typical VCS and issue trackers most suitable for HPC development practices? If not, what modifications are needed to make HPC development most productive?

We hope that our initial findings would help pose more precise questions in this area and the methods used would help answer such questions in the future.
Questions?
The End